

### **REMARKS**

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. Claims 1-40 were pending prior to the Office Action. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-27, 34-35 and 38-39 are canceled. Therefore, 4, 13, 28-33, 36-37 and 40 are pending. Claims 4, 13, 28, 36, 37 and 40 are independent.

### **ALLOWABLE CLAIMS AND SUBJECT MATTER**

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of claims 28-33, 36-37, and 40 being allowable. *See Final Office Action, item 6.* Applicant further appreciates the Examiner's indication of claims 4 and 13 as including allowable subject matter. *See Final Office Action, item 7.* Claim 4 is amended in independent form to include the subject matter of claim 1 and claim 13 is also amended in independent form to include the subject matter of claim 1. Therefore, claims 4 and 13 are also allowable.

### **§ 102 REJECTION – BRAIS, ANDERSON**

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12, 14-27, 34-35 and 38-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Brais et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,995,936) in view of Anderson et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,790,878). *See Final Office Action, items 3-4.* While not acquiescing to the Examiner's allegations but merely to promote the progress of the application, these claims are canceled. Therefore, the rejection is rendered moot.

Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 12, 14-27, 34-35 and 38-39 based on Brais and Anderson be withdrawn.

§ 103 REJECTION – BRAIS, ANDERSON, HAYASHI

Claims 10-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable Brais in view of Anderson and Hayashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,469,738). *See Office Action, item 4.* While not acquiescing to the Examiner's allegations but merely to promote the progress of the application, these claims are canceled. Therefore, the rejection is rendered moot.

Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 10-11 based on Brais, Anderson and Hayashi be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

All objections and rejections raised in the Office Action having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Hyung Sohn (Reg. No. 44,346), to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Application No. 09/865,515  
Amendment dated February 7, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of November 9, 2005

Docket No.: 0905-0260P  
Art Unit 2615  
Page 13 of 13

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02 2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 7, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

HNS  
MKM/HNS/adt